EVALUATION OF THE EVAPORATION PROCESS IN VAPORIZERS OF SMALL TURBOJET AND GAS TURBINE ENGINES



Yeshayahou Levy Valery Sherbaum Vladimir Erenburg Vitali Ovcherenko Igor Gaissinski Valery Nadvani Ori Kam



THE 17TH ISRAELI SYMPOSIUM ON JET ENGINES AND GAS TURBINES Thursday, November 8 ,2018 (9:00-17:00), Auditoriun (#6), Dan and Betty Kahan Building, the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technion, Haifa

Vaporizer configuration (Introduction)



Jet engine (J79) fuel nozzle (atomizers)



Jet engine with fuel vaporizer





מאייד דלק

פיית ריסוס (קוטר 0.25 מ"מ)



Micro-jet combustor with vaporizer (Olympus, AMT)





Vaporizer Over Heating



Tube melting

Previous study: Convective evaporation



axial inlet flow

axial & swirl inlet flow

Option	Axial inlet	Axial &	Axial inlet	Axial &
	flow,	Swirling inlet	flow,	Swirling inlet
	spray angle	flow,	spray angle	flow,
	50	spray angle	60 ⁰	spray angle 60°
		3 0		
Evaporated	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Fraction, %	0.1	6.4	1.9	5.8
Residence	0.007	0.009	0.0064	0.0079
time, s				
Pressure	0.46	0.84	0.5	0.79
drop, %				

Although droplets that are spread as a spray evaporate more extensively, convective heat transfer can only evaporate 6 -7% of the fuel.

SPECIFC OBJECTIVES

- Investigation processes inside the vaporizer:
- Breakup length of the injected jet;
- Primary jet impingement;
- Liquid film motion;
- Heat transfer from the vaporizer wall to fuel;

Basic model of a vaporizer, schematic





Injected jet length (experimental study).

Continuous stream boundary



Measured values







Typically, impingement point occurs when the jet is still as a continuous stream or at a beginning of its breakup.

Experimental study of jet impingement



Experimental study of impingement (test results)



m_{water}=0.3g/s

m_{water}=1.05g/s

Liquid film to inlet water mass flow rate ratio Vs. air velocity and impingement distance and water mass flow rate.

Splashing to inlet mass flow ratio depends on Re, We numbers, distance between injector and the solid surface and is in a range of 0.1-0.35.

$$\operatorname{Re} = \operatorname{Ud}/\nu \quad We = \frac{\rho \ U^2 d}{\sigma}$$

Additional tests with the generic vaporizer are carried out at the present time

Formation of liquid film



LIQUID





AIR

video.

Measurements of splashed droplets



the heated cylinder



the splashed droplets (illuminated with background light)

100

60

40

20

0

SMD, um 80



PDPA (droplet) measurements.



Lateral distance from injector, mm

FILM FLOW

- As significant portion of the liquid flow inside the vaporizer in the form of a film.
- The study is directed towards analyzing the film velocity (residence time) and heat transfer.

Liquid film velocity inside a tube under influence of gas flow



The calculation is based on the following assumptions:

1.Shear stress at the interface between the air and liquid is equal for both, the gas and the liquid.

2. The velocity profile across the (thin) liquid film is linear.

3. The liquid forms a wavy surface with known empirical correlations.

Liquid film velocity inside a tube under: effect of gas flow (cont.)



Effect of liquid mass flow rate on mass averaged velocity for tube diameters 7mm and 10mm, liquid=water, T=30^oC

Liquid film velocity inside a tube under influence of gas flow (test results)



Droplet size distribution at the exit of a tube under influence of gas flow (PDPA measurements)

Tube length=300mm



Illumination of droplets flowing out from the exit of the tube.





video.



Heat transfer to liquid in the vaporizer (Best case scenario).



Heat transfer to liquid in the vaporizer (cont.).



Final results:

Total heat flux to the liquid film is equal $Q_{total} = Q_{cond} + Q_{rad} + Q_{conv} =$

204+20.4+46=270.4W

Temperature rise of kerosene film

$$\Delta T = Q_{tot} \cdot \tau_{res} / c_{pk} \cdot m = 38.7 K$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \tau_{\it res} \;\; {\rm Residence \; time \; of \; the \; film} \\ {\it C}_{\it pk} \;\; {\rm Kerosene \; specific \; heat} \end{array}$

HEAT IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO EVAPORIZE ALL THE FUEL

Conclusion

- Breakup length of the injected jet was found and compared with existing empirical relationships. Liquid jet impinges to vaporizer wall as continuous jet.
- Splashing during liquid jet impingement onto a solid surface was studied. Splashing to inlet mass flow ratio depends on the Re and We and is in a range of 0.1-0.35. Hence, significant fluid moves as a film.
- A calculation method for the liquid film velocity is proposed. Limited experimental results showed satisfactory agreement with the proposed method.
- Calculations showed that the liquid heating inside the vaporizer considering all heat transfer mechanisms is insufficient for its evaporation.
- Further study:
 - 1. Liquid film breakup to spray
 - 2. Interaction of single droplet and spray with hot wall.